

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/774,847	01/31/2001	Harald Krondorfer	1466	6258		
7590 02/24/2003						
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			EXAMINER			
103 East Neck I Huntington, NY			TRAN, L	OUIS B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3721			

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\sim			
· 4		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
	09/774,847		KRONDORFER ET AL					
Office Actio	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Louis B Tran		3721				
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set o	JTORY PERIOD FOR REPL F THIS COMMUNICATION. ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a red above, the maximum statutory period r extended period for reply will, by statut e later than three months after the mailing. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how by within the statutory m will apply and will expir te, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) day: e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	unication.			
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>17</u>	January 2003 .						
2a) This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-	final.					
closed in accord	ation is in condition for allow ance with the practice under				erits is			
Disposition of Claims	re pending in the application	•						
, , ,			eration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	- ' <u>-</u>							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
, <u> </u>	re subject to restriction and/	or election requir	ement					
Application Papers	re subject to restriction and	or cicouom requi	omone.					
9)☐ The specification i	s objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may no	t request that any objection to tl	he drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed dra	wing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approv	∕ed b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corre	cted drawings are required in re	eply to this Office a	ction.					
12) ☐ The oath or declar	ration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Som	e * c) None of:							
1.⊠ Certified co	ppies of the priority documen	nts have been red	eived.					
2. Certified co	ppies of the priority documen	nts have been red	eived in Applicati	on No				
applica	he certified copies of the priction from the International B letailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		ge			
<u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		,						
1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa		4) _ 5) _ 6) _		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment, Paper No. 13, received on 01/17/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 4, it is unclear if the elastic vibration dampening element is contactless from said gripping part or the mounting part is contactless from said gripping part. Clarification of the claim language is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jares (5,172,522) in view of Weber (DE 8701722 U1) in further view of Minamidate et al. (GB 2080920).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,847

Art Unit: 3721

Jares discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a hand power tool comprising a housing 1, at least one handle having at least one gripping part 3 but does explicitly show said gripping part having a mounting side face towards the mounting part, an elastic vibration-damping element, mounted on said mounting part contactless from said gripping part, said at least one gripping part being mounted on said housing through said elastic element and through said mounting element, and at least one additional safety element fixed at said mounting side through which said mounting side of said gripping part is connected with said mounting part.

However, Weber teaches the use of a gripping part 11 having a mounting side 7 facing towards the mounting part 6, at least one elastic, vibration damping element 5 mounted on said mounting part contactless from said gripping part, said at least one gripping part 12 being mounted on said housing 1 through said elastic element and through said mounting element for the purpose of damping vibrations.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Jares with a handle with the specific features above in order to dampen vibrations.

Moreover, Minamidate et al. teaches the use of at least one additional safety element 3 fixed at a mounting side 5a,5b through which a mounting side of a gripping part is connected with a mouting part (a portion of item 1) seen in Figure 1, a safety element 3 in the form of a flexurally non-rigid part (as in claim 2), as in column 2, line 101, formed as a rigid component which is connected through said elastic element with said gripping part and mounting part (as in claim 4), where elastic element surrounds

Application/Control Number: 09/774,847 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

safety element (as in claim 5), wherein safety element is arranged in said elastic element along a central axis (as in claim 6), wherein safety element in a mounted condition is loaded by pulling and elastic element in a mounted condition is loaded by pressure (as in claim 7), as seen in Figure 1, and wherein safety element determines a maximum deviation of said elastic element from a base position in a direction selected form a group consisting of a tilting direction, a displacing direction, and both (as in claim 9) for the purpose of providing vibration-damping while rigidly supporting a hand grip to facilitate positive control as described in column 1 line 25 of Minamidate et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the modified device of Jares with the features above in order to provide vibration-damping while rigidly supporting a hand grip to facilitate positive control.

With respect to claim 3, the modified device of Jares discloses the claimed invention except for a safety element is formed as a metal wire. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a rope instead of a metal wire, since it is recognized that the equivalence of wires and ropes for their use in the art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide a connection between two objects would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jares (5,172,522) in view of Weber (DE 8701722 U1) and Minamidate et al. (GB 2080920) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Radle et al. (5,697,456).

The modified device of Jares discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a safety element but does not show a safety element formed as a band which surrounds said elastic element.

However, Radle et al. teaches the use of a safety element as a band 100 which surrounds an elastic element 98 for the purpose of acting as a covering element therefore protecting vibration dampening components from outside conditions as in column 6, line 20 seen in Figure 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the modified device of Jares with a band acting as a cover in order to provide covering from outside elements.

Conclusion

- 6. Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are Rumpp and Kuhn et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis B Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-6PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

lbt

February 14, 2003

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700